

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,006	02/01/2001	Thomas Hottkowitz	2923-123	6539
6449	7590 04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
	ELL, FIGG, ERNST & M	STOCKTON, LAURA		
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1626	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/762,006	HOTTKOWITZ, THOMAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 December 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-13,15,33 and 43-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13, 15, 33 and 43-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-13, 15, 33 and 43-45 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election with traverse of Group XI and the species of compound 372 in Paper No. 9 (filed June 14, 2002) was acknowledged in the Office Action mailed July 11, 2002 {Paper No. 10}. The requirement has deemed proper and made FINAL in a previous Office Action.

Response to Amendment

The Declaration by Dr. H. Eibl filed December 15, 2004 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-13, 15, 33 and 43-45 based upon 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AT 393505 and Eibl et al. {EP 534,445} as set forth in the last Office action because the Declaration is not executed.

Art Unit: 1626

Further, the closest prior art compound(s) is not identified. Applicant relying upon comparative showing to rebut prima facie case must compare his claimed invention with the closest prior art. <u>In re Holladay</u>, 199 USPO 516, 1978.

Additionally, Applicants did not identify which of their specifically disclosed specie, presently under examination, is being compared to the prior art {e.g., instant Compound 372 found on page 60 of the instant specification}. Also, ALPC appears to stand for a number of compounds {see 4} in the Table on page 4 at the bottom} but separate data is not given for each compound other than its molecular weight.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13, 15, 33 and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AT 393505 and Eibl et al. {EP 534,445}, each taken alone. English translations of AT 393505 and Eibl et al. have been provided with a previous Office Action and will be referred to hereinafter unless otherwise specified.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP \$2141.01)

Applicants claim phosphates and phosphoamines. AT 393505 teaches phosphates and phosphoamines which are structurally similar to the instant claimed compounds {see pages 3, 4, 17 and 22-25; and especially Example 13 (page 41) and Example 14 (pages 42-43)}. Eibl et

Art Unit: 1626

al. also teach phosphate esters which are structurally similar to the instant claimed compounds {page 2, lines 25-42 and Example 5 (Beispiel 5) on page 6 in the EP document; and pages 3-8 in the English translation}.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP \$2141.02)

The difference between the compounds of the prior art and the compounds instantly claimed is that the instant claimed compounds are generically described in the prior art.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP \$2142-2413)

The indiscriminate selection of "some" among "many" is prima facie obvious. The motivation to make the claimed compounds derives from the expectation that structurally similar compounds would possess similar activity (e.g., cytotoxic activity).

Art Unit: 1626

One skilled in the art would thus be motivated to prepare compounds embraced by the prior art to arrive at the instant claimed compounds with the expectation of obtaining additional beneficial products which would have cytotoxic activity and can be used to treat tumors. The instant claimed invention would have been suggested to one skilled in the art and therefore, the instant claimed invention would have been obvious to one skilled in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed December 15, 2004 have been fully considered. Applicants argue that: (1) improved antitumor activity is alleged when the double bound in variable A is at a distance from the O (oxygen linkage in variable A) which does not appear in a naturally occurring alcohol or acid; and (2) the attached data (unexecuted Declaration by Dr. H. Eibl)

Art Unit: 1626

demonstrate particular advantages that are due to the presence of the cis-double bonds in the alkyl chains.

Applicants' arguments have been considered but have not been found persuasive. Applicants have not demonstrated in a persuasive side-by-side showing of unexpected beneficial results of the instant claimed compounds, under examination, over the specie prepared in the cited prior art. The ineffectiveness of the unexecuted Declaration by Dr. H. Eibl has been discussed above. The rejection of the claims is deemed proper and is therefore maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first

Art Unit: 1626

reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for

Art Unit: 1626

. . . .

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Page 9

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600

March 28, 2005